

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH ROSARIO, NOAH ZAHARIA, and
OLIVIA SPELL, individually and on behalf of
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ICON BURGER ACQUISITION LLC d/b/a
SMASHBURGER,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-04313-JS-ST

**SECOND AMENDED CLASS
ACTION COMPLAINT**

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a class action on behalf of all employees of Defendant Icon Burger Acquisition d/b/a Smashburger (“Defendant” or “Smashburger”) in the State of New York that engage in manual work in the course of their employment.

2. New York Law requires companies to pay their manual workers on a weekly basis unless they receive an express authorization to pay on a semi-monthly basis from the New York State Department of Labor Commissioner. *See* New York Labor Law (“NYLL”), Article 6, §191.

3. On information and belief, Defendant has received no such authorization from the New York State Department of Labor Commissioner.

4. The New York Court Of Appeals has explained that this law is “intended for the protection of those who are dependent upon their wages for sustenance.” *People v. Ventri*, 309 N.Y. 401, 405 (citing former Labor Law § 196).

5. Defendant has violated and continues to violate this law by paying its manual workers every other week rather than on a weekly basis.

6. Plaintiffs therefore demand liquidated damages, interest, and attorneys' fees on behalf of themselves and a putative class comprised of all manual workers employed by Defendant in New York State over the last six years.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts business in New York. Defendant owns and operates numerous restaurant locations within New York.

8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this proposed class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), which, under the provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), explicitly provides for the original jurisdiction of the federal courts in any class action in which at least 100 members are in the proposed plaintiff class, any member of the plaintiff class is a citizen of a State different from any defendant, and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. Plaintiffs allege that the total claims of individual members of the proposed Class (as defined herein) are well in excess of \$5,000,000.00 in the aggregate, exclusive of interest and costs.

9. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b)(1) because this is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

PARTIES

10. Defendant Icon Burger Acquisition d/b/a Smashburger is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business at 3900 East Mexico Avenue, Suite 1100, Denver, CO, 80210. Defendant owns a chain of hamburger restaurants that employs thousands of manual workers in the State of New York.

11. Plaintiff Joseph Rosario is a citizen of New York who resides in Staten Island,

New York. Mr. Rosario was employed by Defendant as a cook/cashier from December 2019 to May 2020 at a Smashburger location in Staten Island, New York. At least 25% of Mr. Rosario's job responsibilities at Smashburger included manual labor, including tasks such as cooking, preparing and wrapping food, taking orders, taking food to customers, and tending to the cash register. Mr. Rosario was paid every other week, rather than weekly, during the entirety of his employment with Defendant. Thus, for half of each biweekly pay period, Mr. Rosario was injured in that he was temporarily deprived of money owed to him, and he could not invest, earn interest on, or otherwise use these monies that were rightfully his. Accordingly, every day that said money was not paid to him in a timely fashion, he lost the time value of that money.

12. Plaintiff Noah Zaharia is a citizen of New York who resides in Melville, New York. Mr. Zaharia was employed by Defendant as a "front of house" employee from March 2016 to approximately January 2018 at a Smashburger location in East Norhport, New York. At least 25% of Mr. Zaharia's job responsibilities at Smashburger included manual labor, including tasks such as preparing and wrapping food, taking orders, taking food to customers, and tending to the cash register. Mr. Zaharia was paid every other week, rather than weekly, during the entirety of his employment with Defendant. Thus, for half of each biweekly pay period, Mr. Zaharia was injured in that he was temporarily deprived of money owed to him, and he could not invest, earn interest on, or otherwise use these monies that were rightfully his. Accordingly, every day that said money was not paid to him in a timely fashion, he lost the time value of that money.

13. Plaintiff Olivia Spell is a citizen of New York who resides in Bay Shore, New York. Ms. Spell was employed by Defendant as a shift manager from approximately the Fall of 2017 to January 2018 at a Smashburger location in Wantagh, New York. At least 25% of Ms.

Spell's job responsibilities at Smashburger included manual labor, including tasks such as preparing and wrapping food, taking orders, and tending to the cash register. Ms. Spell was paid every other week, rather than weekly, during the entirety of her employment with Defendant. Thus, for half of each biweekly pay period, Ms. Spell was injured in that she was temporarily deprived of money owed to her, and she could not invest, earn interest on, or otherwise use these monies that were rightfully hers. Accordingly, every day that said money was not paid to her in a timely fashion, she lost the time value of that money.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

14. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, Plaintiffs seek to represent a class defined as all persons who worked as manual workers in their employment for Defendant in the State of New York from six years preceding this Complaint to the date of class notice in this action (the "Class").

15. Members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder herein is impracticable. On information and belief, members of the Class number in the thousands. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time but may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the employment records of Defendant.

16. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class members and predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to: whether Defendant was required to pay class members on a weekly basis, whether class members were paid on a weekly basis, and whether Defendant violated NYLL § 191.

17. The claims of the named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class in that the named Plaintiffs worked as a manual workers for Defendant during the class period but were not

provided with compensation for their work on a weekly basis.

18. Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class because their interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class members they seek to represent, they have retained competent counsel experienced in prosecuting class actions, and they intend to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of Class members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiffs and their counsel.

19. The class mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of the Class members. Each individual Class member may lack the resources to undergo the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessary to establish Defendant's liability. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and multiplies the burden on the judicial system presented by the complex legal and factual issues of this case. Individualized litigation also presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. In contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court on the issue of Defendant's liability. Class treatment of the liability issues will ensure that all claims and claimants are before this Court for consistent adjudication of the liability issues.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

New York Labor Law – Failure to Pay Timely Wages

20. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

21. The timely payment of wages provisions, NYLL § 191, and its supporting

regulations apply to Defendant and protect Plaintiffs and the Class.

22. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Class on a timely basis as required by NYLL § 191(1)(a).

23. Due to Defendant's violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to recover from Defendant the amount of their untimely paid wages as liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided for by NYLL § 198.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- a. For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiffs as representatives of the Class and Plaintiffs' attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class members;
- b. For an order declaring Defendant's conduct violates the law referenced herein;
- c. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiffs and the Class on the count asserted herein;
- d. For liquidated damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- e. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded; and
- f. For an order awarding Plaintiffs and the Class their reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated: February 21, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A

By: /s/ Yitzchak Kopel
Yitzchak Kopel

Yitzchak Kopel
Alec M. Leslie
888 Seventh Avenue
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (646) 837-7150
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163
Email: ykopel@bursor.com
aleslie@bursor.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs